



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,733	11/21/2000	Kenichi Iga	056314/0002	6768

7590 03/25/2003

Randy Lipsitz, Esq.
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

EXAMINER

KAO, CHIH CHENG G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2882

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BN

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/717,733

Applicant(s)

IGA ET AL.

Examiner

Chih-Cheng Glen Kao

Art Unit

2882

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/31/02.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunze (US Patent 4047283) in view of Schneider (US Patent 4377323) and Hensel (US Patent 4102717).

Kunze discloses a splicer (Figure 1) comprising a pair of optical fiber retaining means (Fig. 1, #4), moving a block (Fig. 2, #2, 3, and 16) for putting fibers in a V-shaped groove (Fig. 4, #2 and 3) to bring the ends into abutment for splicing (Fig. 3 and 4).

However, Kunze does not disclose abutment and pressure-contact means for sliding the end in mutually opposite direction with substantially equal elastic forces to bring the abutted ends into pressure contact, nor abutment means including a slide member with a first end to the undersurface of a block, a second end with a laterally extending arm, a shaft for adjusting the vertical position of the block, an eccentric cam coaxially fixed on the shaft, a base for supporting the cam, tension springs connected to the arm and base for energizing the slide member to the cam.

Schneider teaches abutment and pressure-contact means (Fig. 1, #5, 6, and 9) for sliding the end in mutually opposite direction with substantially equal elastic forces (Figs. 1 and 2, "I" and "II") to bring the abutted ends into pressure contact (Figs. 1 and 2, and col. 5, lines 6-9).

Hensel teaches the abutment means including a slide member with a first end to the undersurface of a block (Fig. 4, #22), a second end with a laterally extending arm (Fig. 4, #27), a shaft for adjusting the vertical position of the block (Fig. 4, #24), an eccentric cam coaxially fixed on the shaft (Fig. 4, #25), a base for supporting the cam (Fig. 4, #6), and tension springs, with an amount of elongation capable of being set, for energizing the slide member to the cam (Fig. 5, #31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the abutment and pressure-contact means of Schneider with the device of Kunze, since one would be motivated to incorporate this so one may also splice fibers permanently connected to cladding or outer casing by a filling compound as well as implied from Schneider (col. 2, lines 16-30).

It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the abutment means with the slide member, shaft, cam, and springs of Hensel with the device of Kunze, since one would be motivated to incorporate these components so one may be able to engage the grooved portion with the waveguides with equipment of simple construction and so one may easily use it with a minimum of prior training as implied from Hensel (col. 1, lines 7-15, and col. 2, lines 50-55).

It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the tension springs connected to the arm and base or any other arrangement of component with the suggested device of Kunze in view of Schneider and Hensel, since rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. With regards to the

Art Unit: 2882

springs to a base, one would be motivated to connect springs to a base for strong support of the springs.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (703) 605-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th (8 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



gk
March 10, 2003


SUPERVISOR
TELEPHONE 2800